

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : H04L 9/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/35472 (43) International Publication Date: 13 August 1998 (13.08.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/02399 (22) International Filing Date: 10 February 1998 (10.02.98) (30) Priority Data: 60/037,597 11 February 1997 (11.02.97) US 09/014,830 28 January 1998 (28.01.98) US (71) Applicant: CONNECTED CORPORATION [US/US]; 63 Fountain Street, Framingham, MA 01701 (US). (72) Inventors: CANE, David; 4 Deacon Lane, Sudbury, MA 01776 (US). HIRSCHMAN, David; 8 Kings Road, Sharon, MA 02067 (US). SPEARE, Philip; 118 Ridge Street, Arlington, MA 02174 (US). VAITZBLIT, Lev; 283 Old Pickard Road, Concord, MA 01742 (US). (74) Agents: SCHURGIN, Stanley, M. et al.; Weingarten, Schurgin, Gagnebin & Hayes LLP, Ten Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02109 (US).		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, NO, NZ, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: SECURE FILE ARCHIVE THROUGH ENCRYPTION KEY MANAGEMENT (57) Abstract An information processing system providing archive/backup support with privacy assurances by encrypting data stored thereby. Data generated on a source system is encrypted, the key used thereby is separately encrypted, and both the encrypted data and encrypted key are transmitted to and maintained by a data repository system. The repository system receives only the encrypted data and key, while the source system retains the ability to recover the key and in turn, the data. The source system is therefore assured of privacy and integrity of the archived data by retaining access control yet is relieved of the physical management of the warehousing medium.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LJ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

5 SECURE FILE ARCHIVE THROUGH ENCRYPTION KEY MANAGEMENT

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

10 A claim of priority is made to U.S. Provisional Patent
Application No. 60/037,597, entitled FILE COMPARISON FOR DATA
BACKUP AND FILE SYNCHRONIZATION, filed February 11, 1997.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR
DEVELOPMENT

15 Not Applicable

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

20 The present invention relates to data archive operations
for information processing systems, and more particularly to
security features for such operations.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

25 In an information processing system periodic archival of
static, unused objects is desirable to optimize access to
more active items and to guard against failure such as disk
head crashes and human error such as accidental deletions.
Consequently, periodic backups to magnetic tape and
corresponding purging of selected files from online disks is
a common practice.

30 Data archival mechanisms need to assure the integrity
of data stored thereby. Users of the data need to know data
is persistent, and also that there is a reasonable turnaround
time for retrieval. Often this entails copying such data
entities, hereinafter files, to an inexpensive, high volume,
but not necessarily fast access, form of physical storage
35 such as magnetic tape. Corresponding index information
regarding the magnetic tape location of a particular file can
be retained online. Since index information referencing a

-2-

file consumes much less storage than the file itself, such information is not as unwieldy as the actual data file counterpart. In order to retrieve a file, the index is consulted to determine the physical volume of the corresponding file. The physical magnetic tape volume is then searched for the desired entity. Although sequential, this aspect of the search can be performed within a reasonable time since the indexing system has narrowed the field to a single volume. Such indexing schemes are numerous and are well known to those skilled in the art.

Images written to magnetic tape, however, remain fixed and readable unless physically overwritten. Successive revisions of backups tend to render the previous versions obsolete, although the earlier versions still exist on the tape. Such a tape might well be discarded, thereby placing it in the public domain, or partially used for another purpose, leaving an uncertain status of the information which may exist randomly and unprotected. Further attenuation of control over the data occurs when another party performs the archive. Since the archiving operation usually bears little relation to the generation of the data, it is often desirable to delegate this operation. The archive operation may be undertaken by a co-located group, a group at a remote location of the same organization, or an external contractor, and could involve either electronic or physical mediums of data transmission. Delegation of the backup operation to an archive server, however, raises issues of security and privacy, since the corporation or individual generating the data (hereinafter source organization) has little control over access to the data at a remote facility. With regard to file deletion, however, magnetic tape does not lend itself well to selective rewrite. Due to the sequential nature of magnetic tape, intra-tape modifications can compromise subsequent files. It is therefore difficult for an archive service to ensure integrity of data upon retrieval requests, provide effective deletion of obsolete data, and maintain secrecy of data while under the control of the archive

-3-

mechanism.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 The present invention addresses the problem of privacy
for archived data by providing the source organization with
control over the data without burdening the reliability of
retrieval with the problems caused by sequential overwrite.
An encryption function applied to the archived data renders
it in a form unintelligible to unauthorized observers.
10 Encryption involves arithmetic manipulations of the data
using a specific value called a key, which renders the data
in an unintelligible form. This key bears a specific
mathematical relationship to the data and the encryption
algorithm being used. Returning the data to the original
15 form involves applying the corresponding inverse function to
the encrypted form. Without the proper key, however, it is
very difficult to determine the inverse, or decryption,
function. The security provided by encryption rests on the
premise that with a sufficiently large key, substantial
20 computational resources are required to determine the
original data. Encrypting a file with a particular key, and
then encrypting the key itself using a master key, therefore,
allows another party to physically maintain and store the
data while the originator, or source, of the data retains
25 access control. Additional security and authentication
measures can also be taken, such as further encrypting the
key or the data at the server with a server key, and the use
of cipher block chaining to impose dependencies among a
sequence of file blocks.

30 In accordance with the present invention, an archive
server utilizes encryption techniques to maintain both
security and integrity of stored data by maintaining a series
of keys for each archived file, and encrypting both the
archived file, and the key to which it corresponds. The
35 archive server manages the encrypted files and the
corresponding encrypted keys, while the source organization
maintains only the master key required to recover the

-4-

individual encrypted keys. Through this arrangement, the source organization maintains control and assurances over access to the archived data, while the archive server manages the physical storage medium and performs individual encrypted file manipulation requests at the behest of the client. The archive server maintains access only to the encrypted data files and encrypted keys, effectively managing these files and keys as abstract black-box entities, without the ability to examine and interpret the contents.

Three common transactions involving archived encrypted files are effected by the present invention. A source organization desiring to archive files periodically transfers files from its online repository, usually a fast access storage medium such as a disk, to the archive server. To retrieve archived information, a retrieval transaction indicating a particular file occurs. Finally, when an item is to be deleted, a deletion instruction implicating a particular file is issued to the archive server.

One benefit provided by this arrangement is the elimination of access to data by the archive server, therefore providing the source organization with assurances of access control and privacy, while relieving the source organization of archive cataloging and physical storage duties. Furthermore, effective deletion of information stored on archive tapes is achieved without physical modification to magnetic tape,

-5-

therefore avoiding compromise to subsequent data on the same volume.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING

5 The invention will be more fully understood in view of the following Detailed Description of the Invention and Drawing, of which:

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of the physical information flow;

10 Fig. 2 is a flowchart depicting the archival method; and
Fig. 3 is a flowchart depicting the retrieval method.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

15 U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/037,597 entitled FILE COMPARISON FOR DATA BACKUP AND FILE SYNCHRONIZATION, filed February 11, 1997, is incorporated herein by reference.

20 Referring to Fig. 1, in a computer information processing system large amounts of data are stored and must periodically be archived. Often data is copied from a source system 8 to an archive information processing system 30, hereinafter archive server, over a transmission medium, 26 & 28. The archive server 30 then copies the data to be archived onto a suitable long term storage volume such as
25 magnetic tape 36.

30 An archive transaction for a file stored at the source system encompasses encryption of the file on the source system using a secondary key, encryption of the secondary key on the source system using a master key, and transmission of the encrypted file and the associated encrypted key to the
35 archive server. Transmission is electronic via computer network, or in alternative embodiments by physical delivery of a suitable magnetic medium. The archive server then stores the encrypted file on magnetic tape or another medium of long term storage, and stores the encrypted key along with an index to the tape containing the encrypted file. The master key used to encrypt the secondary key is retained on

-6-

the source system.

Referring to Figs. 1 and 2, A file 10 to be archived is identified 100 within a fast access storage medium 12 of the source information system 8, and is sent to a cryptographic engine 14. The present embodiment incorporates a disk drive as the fast access storage medium, although an alternative embodiment could use other modes of digital fixation, such as CD-ROM. The cryptographic engine 14 may be an application within the same node or an independent CPU, and may invoke specialized encryption hardware, depending on the encryption method desired. Any of various known encryption methods could be employed.

A key generator 16 then generates a secondary key 18 as shown in step 102, and uses this key to encrypt the file 10 as shown in step 104 to produce an encrypted file 20, at step 106. The master encryption key 22 is then obtained in step 108 and used to encrypt the secondary key in 18, as shown at step 110, and produce an encrypted key 24, as indicated in step 112. Note that since the same master key is used to encrypt multiple secondary keys it need be generated only once and then reused for successive secondary keys. The encrypted file 20 and encrypted key 24 are then transmitted to the archive server at steps 116 and 118, respectively, while the master key 22 is retained at the source system 8 at step 114. Transmission may be accomplished via Internet 26, dialup connection 28, or in alternative embodiments, other means such as physical delivery of the storage medium. Encryption may be performed by any of various known methods, such as RSA, DES, and other permutations and may involve authentication and verification either through a trusted third party or mathematical methods. Such authentication and verification may involve cipher block chaining (CBC), to perform an XOR on all or part of a previous block and use the resultant value in encrypting a successive block, or checksums such as cyclic redundancy checks (CRC), MD4, and MD5, which accumulate all values in a particular block according to a mathematical formula to arrive at a value

-7-

which is highly unlikely to be duplicated if data in the block is changed or lost.

Upon receipt of the encrypted file 20 and the encrypted key 24, the archive server 30 writes the encrypted file 32 to a magnetic tape 36, or other medium of long term storage which is inexpensive and which need not encompass real time access, via tape drive 34 at step 120. The encrypted key 38 is then written to a tape index disk file 40 at step 122, thereby associating the magnetic tape volume 36 with the encrypted file 32 and the encrypted key 38. In alternative embodiments, a further encryption operation may be performed at the archive server on the encrypted file 32 or the encrypted key 38 to add an additional layer of security.

Recovery of a file is accomplished by the archive server referencing the index to obtain the encrypted key and the volume of the encrypted file. The encrypted file is then retrieved from the volume, and both the encrypted file and encrypted key are transmitted back to the client. The client then recovers the file through the same two stage process used to encrypt. First, the secondary key must be recovered by decrypting the encrypted key with the master. Second, the original file may be recovered by decrypting the encrypted file with the secondary key.

Referring to Figs. 1 and 3, for file recovery the archive server searches the tape index disk file 40 at step 200 to lookup the encrypted key 44 and the location of the magnetic tape volume 36. The server then retrieves the encrypted key at step 202 and retrieves the encrypted file 42 from long term storage via tape drive 34, as shown in step 204. The encrypted file 48 and encrypted key 46 are then transmitted back to the source system 8 as indicated by steps 206 and 208, respectively.

Once received by the source system 8, the master key 22 is used to decrypt the encrypted key 46 at step 210 and recover the secondary key 18, as shown in step 212. The secondary key 18 is then used to decrypt the encrypted file 48 as shown in step 214 to produce the recovered file 50

-8-

which is identical to the original file 10, as indicated by step 216.

5 File deletion involves searching the tape index disk file 40, for the entry corresponding to the file 10 marked for deletion. Rather than retrieving the key and volume, however, the encrypted key 44 is deleted and the storage area in the tape index disk file 40 overwritten with zero values. This overwriting is required to avoid future access to the encrypted key 44 through use of a sector level disk access, 10 as many file systems merely flag a deleted area as available, and data physically remains unaltered until a subsequent write needs the available space. Elimination of the encrypted key effectively precludes future access to the contents of the archived file stored on magnetic tape without requiring physical modification to the archive volume; only 15 the encrypted key is deleted. Therefore, there is no compromise of the integrity of adjacent entities on the tape, and no extraneous versions of sensitive data.

20 Following overwrite of the encrypted key 44, the information in the encrypted file 32 remains secure. No modification of the magnetic tape volume 36 is required, as the encryption ensures that the information remains unintelligible.

25 Effectiveness of this method suggests that the encryption take place no more remotely than the limits of the source system organization's proprietary, or internal, network, as unprotected electronic transfers can also compromise the data. The dotted line 52 on Fig. 1 indicates the extent of unencrypted data and should represent no 30 greater extent than the intranet of the originating entity.

35 Master key generation is significant because recovery of a key allows recovery of the file that the key represents. Consequently, control over access and deletion to archived files is dependent upon control over the corresponding secondary keys. Each key, however, must be unique to the file to which it corresponds, otherwise, exposure of a key

-9-

to decrypt a particular file compromises that key for all other files which that key covers. If the source system is required to maintain a separate key for all archived encrypted files, however, there is merely a shift in storage medium, as the key to each encrypted file, rather than the file, must be still be maintained. Encrypting individual secondary keys allows the keys to be maintained as securely as the files. The source system maintains a single master key, or several master keys covering different groups of secondary keys. Control of the archived, encrypted files is then focused through a master key. The archiving entity retains a set of all encrypted files, and maintains a mapping to the corresponding encrypted keys for which the source organization holds the master key.

Having described the preferred embodiments of the invention, other embodiments which incorporate concepts of the invention will now become apparent to one skilled in the art. Therefore, the invention should not be viewed as limited to the disclosed embodiments but rather should be viewed as limited only by the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

-10-

CLAIMS

What is claimed is :

1. An electronic network for transferring data units among storage elements comprising:

5 a communications link;

a source information processing system at a first end of said communications link comprising

a master encryption key;

at least one secondary encryption key;

10 a first memory for storing data units and said master and said at least one secondary encryption keys; and

an encryption engine for selectively encrypting said data units to produce encrypted data units using at least one of said secondary encryption keys, and for
15 encrypting said at least one secondary encryption key with said master encryption key producing at least one encrypted key; and

an archive server information processing system at a second end of said communications link comprising a second
20 memory and in communication with said source information processing system, said archive server information processing system for receiving and storing said encrypted data units and said encrypted keys in said second memory.

25 2. The network as in claim 1 wherein said first and said second memories provide fixation in a medium selected from the group consisting of electronic, magnetic, and optical storage media.

30 3. The network as in claim 1 wherein said first memory comprises a substantially real-time random access storage medium.

35 4. The network as in claim 1 wherein said second memory comprises a first and second storage area, said first storage area comprising substantially real-time random access storage medium, and said second storage area comprising high-volume

-11-

storage wherein storage capacity and speed are not degraded by quantity of information stored thereby.

5 5. The network as in claim 4 wherein said high-volume storage is comprised of detachable physical volumes capable of selective and repeatable communication with said archive server information processing system.

10 6. The network as in claim 4 wherein said at least one encrypted key is stored in said first storage area within said second memory and said encrypted data units are stored in said second storage area within said second memory.

15 7. The network as in claim 1 wherein said data units comprise elements of a file system.

8. The network as in claim 1 wherein said data units comprise a discrete and enumerable area within said first memory.

5 9. The network as in claim 1 wherein said source information processing system further comprises a computer and said encryption engine is implemented by said computer executing an encryption application having said master encryption key, said at least one secondary key, and said data units as inputs and said encrypted data units and said
10 at least one encrypted key as outputs.

15 10. The network as in claim 1 wherein said source information processing system further comprises a computer and said encryption engine is implemented by a circuit in communication with said computer, said circuit having said master encryption key, said at least one secondary encryption key, and said data units as inputs and said encrypted data units and said at least one encrypted key as outputs.

-12-

20 11. The network as in claim 1 further comprising a plurality of said source information processing systems electrically connected to said archive server information processing system.

25 12. The network as in claim 1 wherein said data units comprise subdivisions comprising a plurality of blocks and said encryption is applied to said blocks and comprises input from said block and the results of at least one previous encrypted block.

13. The network as in claim 1 further comprising at least one key at said archive server and wherein said archive server key is used to further encrypt said encrypted keys.

30 14. The network as in claim 1 further comprising at least one key at said archive server and wherein said archive server key is used to further encrypt said encrypted data units.

35 15. A method for providing secure archive for data generated in a first memory within a source information processing system comprising the steps of:

40 identifying data for archive within said first memory;
obtaining a secondary encryption key;
encrypting said data with said secondary encryption key to produce encrypted data;
40 obtaining a master encryption key;
encrypting said secondary encryption key with said master encryption key to produce an encrypted key;
transmitting said encrypted data and encrypted key to an archive information system having a second memory;
45 writing said encrypted data and said encrypted key to said second memory.

16. The method according to claim 15 further comprising the steps of:
retrieving said encrypted data and said encrypted key

-13-

50 from said second memory of said archive information system;
 transmitting said encrypted data and said encrypted key
 from said archive information system to said source
 information processing system;
 decrypting said encrypted key with said master
55 encryption key to recover said secondary key; and
 decrypting said encrypted data with said secondary key
 to recover said data.

 17. The method according to claim 15 further comprising the
 step of:
60 overwriting the portion of said second memory where said
 encrypted key is stored.

 18. The method according to claim 15 wherein the step of
 transmitting comprises sending via electromagnetic medium.

65 19. The method according to claim 15 wherein the step of
 transmitting is selected from the group consisting of
 transmitting via electronic network communications and
 transmitting via dedicated telephone modem connection.

 20. The method according to claim 15 wherein the step of
 identifying data for archive is comprised of demarcating an
70 enumerated area within said first memory.

 21. The method according to claim 15 wherein the step of
 identifying data in first memory comprises locating
 information from fixation in a medium selected from the group
 consisting of magnetic, electronic and optical.

75 22. The method according to claim 15 wherein the step of
 writing to second memory consists of fixation in a medium
 selected from the group consisting of magnetic, electronic
 and optical.

-14-

80 23. The method according to claim 15 wherein said data is subdivided into a plurality of blocks and said encrypting comprising input from the results of at least one previous encrypting of said blocks.

85 24. The method according to claim 15 further comprising the step of subsequently encrypting said encrypted key after transmitting and before writing said encrypted key to said second memory.

90 25. The method according to claim 15 further comprising the step of subsequently encrypting said encrypted data after transmitting and before writing said encrypted data to said second memory.

26. The method according to claim 16 further comprising the step of decrypting said encrypted key before transmitting and after retrieving said encrypted key from said second memory.

95 27. The method according to claim 16 further comprising the step of decrypting said encrypted data before transmitting and after retrieving said encrypted data from said second memory.

1/3





FIG. 1

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

2/3

**FIG. 2**




FIG. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US98/02399

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER														
IPC(6) :H04L 9/00 US CL :380/4, 21 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC														
B. FIELDS SEARCHED														
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 380/4, 21, 49; 395/186, 187.01; 707/204; 711/161, 162;														
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched														
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS; terms: KEK, key encrypt? key#, master key, archive, key escrow														
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT														
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.												
X,E	US 5,719,938 A (HAAS et al.) 17 February 1998, (17/02/98) entire document	1-6, 15, 16, 18-22												
Y,E	US 5,748,735 A (GANESAN) 05 May 1998, (05/05/98) figures 4 and 5, columns 6 and 10	1-3, 7-11, 15, 16, 18-22												
Y	US 5,584,022 A (KIKUCHI et al.) 10 December 1996, (10/12/96) column 2 lines 37-40	1-3, 7-11, 15, 16, 18-22												
A,E	US 5,746,972 A (CROUSE et al.) 09 June 1998, (09/06/98) entire document	1-27												
A	US 5,235,641 A (NOZAWA) 10 October 1993, (10/10/93) entire document	1-3, 15, 16												
A,E	US 5,721,777 A (BLAZE) 24 February 1998, (24/02/98) entire document	1-27												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.														
<table border="0"> <tr> <td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td> <td>*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>*A* document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	*B* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family	*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention													
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone													
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art													
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family													
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means														
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed														
Date of the actual completion of the international search 22 JUNE 1998		Date of mailing of the international search report 10 JUL 1998												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer <i>Deane Korkun</i> PINCHUS M. LAUFER Telephone No. (703) 306-4177												

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**International application No.**
PCT/US98/02399**C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	Schneier, B. Applied Cryptography, 2nd edition John Wiley and Sons, N.Y. (1995) page 51	1, 15